1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General		
2	of the State of California MARC D. GREENBAUM		
ا م	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	STEPHEN A. MILLS, State Bar No. 54145	,	
4	Deputy Attorney General		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2539		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7			
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	51,112 01 01.		
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2010-240	
12	CHERYL RANA MURPHY AKA CHERYL		
13	RANA DAVIES AKA CHERYL RANA	ACCUSATION	
14	EMBREE; CHERYL RANA LEE		
_	5684 Bay Street, Aprt. 759		
15	Emeryville, CA 94608		
16	Registered Nursing License No. 562785		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
	Complainain arrogos.		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	1. Louise Bailey, M.Ed., RN. (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in		
22			
	her official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing,		
23	Department of Consumer Affairs		
24	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
25	2. On or about January 24, 2000, the Board of Registered Nursing (Board)		
26	issued Registered Nursing License No. 562785 to Cheryl Rana Murphy aka Cheryl Rana Davies		
27	aka Cheryl Rana Embree; Cheryl Rana Lee (Respondent). The Registered Nursing License was		
28			
ŀ			

in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2011, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions (Code) unless otherwise indicated

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides in pertinent part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 490 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed registered nurse.
 - 6. Section 2761 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions."
 - 7. Section 2762 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

part:

"(a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.

"(b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.

"(c) Be convicted of a criminal offense involving the prescription, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances described in subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section, or the possession of, or falsification of a record pertaining to, the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section, in which event the record of the conviction is conclusive evidence thereof."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1444, states:

"A conviction or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered nurse if to a substantial degree it evidences the present or potential unfitness of a registered nurse to practice in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. . . ."

9. Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a) states, in pertinent

"(a) No person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge."

COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

11. DANGEROUS DRUGS/CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- a. "Dilaudid," an opium derivative, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(k), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- b. "Hydromorphone, an opium derivative, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(k) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022. Dilaudid is a trade name (Knoll) for the narcotic substance Hydromorphone.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a), section 2762, subdivision (b) and section 490 of the Code, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1444, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed registered nurse, as follows:
 - a. On or about June 29, 2007, Respondent was convicted by the Court on a

·15

plea of nolo contendere for violating one count of Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving while having blood alcohol content greater that 0.8%), a misdemeanor, and one count of Vehicle Code section 14601.1(a) (driving a vehicle with a suspended license), a misdemeanor, in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cheryl Rana Lee* (Super. Ct. Kern County, 2007, No. BM704780A). Respondent was placed on 3 years probation and fined \$1729.00. The circumstances surrounding the convictions are that on or about January 4, 2007, Respondent was arrested by Bakersfield Police Department for driving under the influence of alcohol with a suspended driver's license.

b. On or about July 12, 2005, Respondent was convicted by the Court on a plea of nolo contendere for violating one count of Vehicle Code section 23103.5 (driving a motor vehicle with a suspended license), a misdemeanor, in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Cheryl Rana Lee* (Super. Ct. Kern County, 2005, No. BF107643A). Respondent was placed on 3 years probation. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about September 10, 2004, California Highway Patrol responded to a collision involving two vehicles. Upon arriving, officer's observed Respondent's unsteady gait, bloodshot watery eyes and the smell of alcohol on her breath. Respondent was arrested and transferred to jail. Respondent refused to submit to a chemical test and displayed violent behavior toward officers while in custody.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined in section 2762, subdivision (b), in that on or about January 4, 2007, Respondent used alcohol beverages to an extent or in a manner dangerous to herself, and others. Complainant refers to, and by this

reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraph (a), as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction Involving the Consumption of Alcohol)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a) on the grounds of unprofessional conduct as defined in Code section 2762, subdivision (c), in that on or about June 29, 2007, Respondent was convicted of a crime involving the consumption of alcohol. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 12, subparagraph (a), as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct as defined in section 2762, subdivision (a), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1444, in that on and between November 1, 2006, and November 13, 2006, while employed by Mercy Hospital, Bakersfield, California, as a registered nurse traveler, Respondent did the following:
- a. Obtained controlled substances by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or subterfuge or by the concealment of a material fact in violation of the Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a) and (b), by signing out Dilaudid and Hydromorphone for various patients and taking it for her own personal use.

Patient MR-9725 (1):

b. On or about November 1, 2006, Respondent removed 2 mg of Dilaudid from the Pyxis system, recorded 1 mg administered, and failed to chart any wastage of the medication. The treating physician did not order any Dilaudid medication.

Patient MR-6520 (3):

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On or about November 8, 2006, Respondent removed 2 mg of Dilaudid c. from the Pyxis system, did not chart in patient's file, and failed to chart any wastage of the medication. The treating physician ordered 1 mg of Dilaudid medication. At 0630 hours 1 mg dose of Dilaudid was drawn and administered by another nurse. At 0658 hours Respondent drew a second 1 mg dose for the patient, with no record of administration.

Patient MR-1325 (4):

On or about November 8, 2006, Respondent removed 2 mg of Dilaudid d. from the Pyxis system at 1334, charted as 1 mg at 1320 and failed to chart any wastage of the medication. Physician ordered 1 mg of Dilaudid medication. Respondent failed to document: response; administration time is 14 minutes prior to the Pyxis removal time. At 1621, Respondent removed 2 mg of Dilaudid medication from the Pyxis system, charted as 1 mg at 1500, and failed to chart any wastage of the medication. The treating physician ordered 1 mg of Dilaudid medication. Respondent failed to document response; administration time is 1 hour and 21 minutes prior to the Pyxis removal time. At 1711, Respondent removed 2 mg of Dilaudid from the Pyxis system, did not chart record, documented 2 mg of Dilaudid wastage at 1723. Physician did not order Dilaudid medication.

Patient MR-6997 (5):

On or about November 9, 2006, Respondent removed 2 mg of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis system at 1821, failed to chart the record, and at 1825 documented wastage of 2 mg of Hydromorphone. The treating physician did not order Hydromorphone medication.

Patient MR-4673 (6):

On or about November 9, 2006, Respondent removed 2 mg of f.

1	H
2	do
3	H
4	all
5	al.
6	
7	
8	H;
.9	fa
10	re
11	ph
12	- .
13	
14'	
15	H
16	2
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	al
22	
23	to
24	
25	

Hydromorphone from the Pyxis system at 1516, failed to chart the record, and at 1525 documented wastage of 2 mg of Hydromorphone. The treating physician did not order Hydromorphone medication. Under the allergies section of the ER form, the patient listed an allergy to morphine.

Patient MR-0621 (7):

g. On or about November 10, 2006, Respondent removed 2 mg of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis system at 1814, charted in record administered 1 mg at 0800, and failed to document wastage of 1 mg of Hydromorphone. Respondent failed to document response; administration time is 14 minutes prior to the Pyxis removal time. The treating physician ordered 1 mg, IM of Hydromorphone.

Patient MR-0734 (10):

h. On or about November 13, 2006, Respondent removed 2 mg of

Hydromorphone from the Pyxis system, failed to chart record, and failed to document wastage of

mg of Hydromorphone. The treating physician did not order Hydromorphone medication.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nursing License No. 562785, issued o Respondent;
- Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the
 investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
 125.3, and

26

27

28

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. DATED: ______/3/09 LOUISE BAILEY, M.Ed., RN. Interim Executive Officer Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant . . . 16 LA2008601184 Accusation - Cheryl Murphy.wpd st(9-11-08)